| 1  |   |             |   |  |
|----|---|-------------|---|--|
| 2  |   |             |   |  |
| 3  |   |             |   |  |
| 4  |   |             |   |  |
| 5  |   |             |   |  |
| 6  |   |             |   |  |
| 7  |   |             |   |  |
| 8  | UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  |             |   |  |
| 9  | WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON<br>AT SEATTLE  |             |   |  |
| 10 | DANIEL RAY SITTON,  |             |   |  |
| 11 | ]   | Plaintiff,  | Case No. C13-820-RAJ                          |  |
| 12 | v.  |             |   |  |
| 13 | SUE BAERG, et al.,  |             | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANTS' MOTION FOR SUMMARY |  |
| 14 | ]   | Defendants. | JUDGMENT AND DISMISSING ACTION                |  |
| 15 |   |             |   |  |
| 16 | The Court, having reviewed plaintiff's amended complaint, defendant Dumas's motion                |             |   |  |
| 17 | for summary judgment, the Report and Recommendation of the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida,           |             |   |  |
| 18 | United States Magistrate Judge, and the remaining record, does hereby find and ORDER:             |             |   |  |
| 19 | (1) The Court adopts the Report and Recommendation.   |             |   |  |
| 20 | (2) Defendants' motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 28) is GRANTED.                                 |             |   |  |
| 21 | (3) Plaintiff's amended complaint (Dkt. 13) is DISMISSED without prejudice as to                  |             |   |  |
| 22 | plaintiff's first cause of action, to the extent plaintiff alleges that defendant Baerg failed to |             |   |  |
| 23 | ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT<br>DUMAS'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY<br>JUDGMENT - 1                            |             |   |  |

| 1  | provide him adequate medical care for his hepatitis C, and also as to plaintiff's second, third,        |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2  | fourth, fifth, sixth, seventh, eighth, and ninth causes of action, because plaintiff failed to properly |  |  |
| 3  | exhaust his institutional remedies with respect to those claims. Plaintiff's amended complaint is       |  |  |
| 4  | DISMISSED with prejudice as to the portion of plaintiff's first cause of action alleging that           |  |  |
| 5  | defendant Baerg refused to follow a court order regarding a blood draw and as to plaintiff's tenth      |  |  |
| 6  | cause of action.  |  |  |
| 7  | (4) Plaintiff's motion for summary judgment (Dkt. 64) and defendants' request for                       |  |  |
| 8  | sanctions (Dkt. 69) are DENIED.   |  |  |
| 9  | (5) The Clerk is directed to terminate the remaining motions as MOOT (Dkt. ## 73,                       |  |  |
| 10 | 74), and to terminate the case.   |  |  |
| 11 | (6) The Clerk is directed to send copies of this Order to plaintiff, to counsel for                     |  |  |
| 12 | defendants, and to the Honorable Brian A. Tsuchida.   |  |  |
| 13 | DATED this 3rd day of January, 2014.  |  |  |
| 14 | Richard A Jane  |  |  |
| 15 | The Honorable Richard A. Jones  |  |  |
| 16 | United States District Judge  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |
| 18 |   |  |  |
| 19 |   |  |  |
| 20 |   |  |  |
| 21 |   |  |  |
| 22 |   |  |  |
| 23 |   |  |  |

ORDER GRANTING DEFENDANT DUMAS'S MOTION FOR SUMMARY JUDGMENT - 2